




Pupil Premium Strategy Statement – Sidmouth College



This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2023 to 2024 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

| Detail | Data |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| School name | Sidmouth College |
| Number of pupils in school | 757 (Year 7-11) |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 22% |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers | Year three of three years |
| Date this statement was published | December 2023 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | November 2024 |
| Statement authorised by | S. Parsons Principal |
| Pupil premium lead | D. Herbert Assistant Principal |
| Governor / Trustee lead | A. Denner |

Funding overview

| Detail | Amount |
|---|-----------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £140,560 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £ 20, 590 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |
| Total budget for this academic year | £161,150 |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year | |

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across the curriculum, particularly in EBacc subjects.

The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers. When making decisions about using Pupil Premium funding it is important to consider the context of the school and the subsequent challenges faced. This alongside research conducted by the EEF. Common barriers to learning for disadvantaged children can be: less support at home, weak language and communication skills, lack of confidence, more frequent behaviour difficulties and attendance and punctuality issues. There may also be complex family situations that prevent children from flourishing. The challenges are varied and there is no "one size fits all". We will ensure that all teaching staff are involved in the analysis of data and identification of pupils, so that they are fully aware of strengths and weaknesses across the school.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve
- have a designated Senior Leader who is part of the Trust Disadvantaged Network and contributes to termly review of impact and the sharing of best practice.
- have a named Governor for Disadvantaged.
- have a costed Pupil Premium Strategy that uses a consistent framework based on the three areas of need identified through the EEF research. This plan will be available on the website and reviewed termly by senior leaders and Governors.
- contribute to Disadvantaged peer reviews and will also undertake an external review every 3 years.

• provide funding for DS to access extra-curricular opportunities and ensure they are represented in every aspect of school life.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
|---------------------|--|
| 1 | Progress and attainment 8 score |
| | The attainment of disadvantaged pupils is generally lower than that of their peers in a number of academic subjects. Languages are currently the limiting factor |
| | Cats results in 2020 show that 65% of those who arrive below age-related ex- pectations are PP students compared to 35% of their peers. By GCSE, there is still a gap in the P8 of PP and non-PP students. |
| | 2019, the gap was -0.94, |
| | 2020 -0.93 |
| | 2021 -0.75 |
| | 2022 -0.63 |
| | 2023 -0.44 |
| 2 | Attendance and punctuality |
| | Our attendance data over the last 3 years indicates that attendance among dis- advantaged pupils has been on average 3% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils. |
| | In the autumn term of 2021, 43.5 % of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persis- tently absent' compared to 34.6% of their peers during that period. In 2022, 28.02% of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 18.76% of their peers. 2023 35.3% DS PA compared to 23.2% This trend along- side our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress. |
| 3 | Literacy skills |
| | Assessments, learning walks and books indicate disadvantaged pupils would benefit from opportunities to develop their literacy skills, in particular vocabulary, and reading. This impacts on their progress in all subjects. 40% of our PP students in Year 7 and 8 have reading ages below their chronological age. |
| 4 | Lesson isolation and Suspension concerns |
| | Disadvantaged students are disproportionately more likely to miss lessons through exclusion or isolations. PP eligible students are more likely than their |

| | non-disadvantaged peers to be isolated from lessons and receive suspensions. The number of suspensions ended on 104 or 13.74% against the national figure of 13.96% academic year 2021-22. There was one permanent exclusion in the year 2021-2022 Our pupil premium pupils made up 41% of these suspensions. |
|---|---|
| 5 | Mental Health, Resilience and learning habits |
| | Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families sug- gest that the education and wellbeing of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pu- pils. Families and students have identified social and emotional issues such as anxiety, depression (diagnosed by medical professionals) and low self-esteem. This has resulted in an increase in drop-ins to the SEMH learning mentor for support and an increased case-load for our PSA. This is partly driven by con- cern about catching up lost learning and exams/prospects, and the lack of en- richment opportunities due to the pandemic. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment. |
| 6 | Student leadership and enrichment opportunities |
| | Disadvantaged students are less likely to benefit from life skills offered by stu- dent leadership opportunities. Disadvantaged students are disproportionately less likely to apply for Student Leadership Roles, attend enrichment activities and go on trips and visits. The Sutton Trust states that giving young people from all backgrounds a greater opportunity to develop these skills can be an engine for opportunity and social mobility. As a school, we also need to improve the un- derstanding of which families need support for taking part in trips and visits. |

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are **aiming** for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
|---|---|
| Improved attainment among disadvantaged pupils across the curriculum at the end of KS4, with a focus on Ebacc subjects. | Outcomes are better than national; disadvan- taged students achieve as well as their non- disadvantaged peers. |
| KPIs to be undistinguishable between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged students. These include disadvantaged students to make progress in line with, or better than other students nationally and to achieve national benchmarks for attainment 8 for disadvantaged students. | The gap between disadvantaged student's progress and attainment 8 scores will de- crease to be in line with no disadvantaged peers. Ensure the % of disadvantaged stu- dents achieving a 5+ in English and Maths is in line with, or above national average. |
| Improved vocabulary among disadvantaged students across the curriculum. | Class discussions, writing and assessments in student books will demonstrate tier 2 and 3 vocabulary in use. |

| Improved literacy and English results for disadvantaged students. | Disadvantaged students' reading ages improve and as a result, so do their outcomes in all subjects. Literacy interventions can evidence the progress of disadvantaged students. |
|---|---|
| To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged. | Reduced impact of SEMH on academic out- comes and an increase in participation in en- richment activities. |
| To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged | Attendance Levels maintained in line or above national for disadvantaged students. |
| Increased attendance rates and punctuality for Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium. advantaged pupils. | Attendance gap between DS and non-DS will decrease. DS students' attendance remains in line or better than the local and national benchmarks. Persistent absence for disad- vantaged students will reduce. |
| Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium reading ages improve rapidly. | DS students' reading ages improve in line with their chronological ages. Reduced pro- gress gap between DS and non-DS to 0. |
| An increased number of Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium holding student leadership roles. No child eligible for the pupil premium is held back from participating in enrichment opportunities because of their background. | The percentage of disadvantaged students holding student leadership roles is at least proportionate to their year group cohort. Dis- advantaged students are attendance on trips, visits and activities is proportionate to their year group cohort. Families who will struggle to make payments for activities are known to year leaders and the school will proactively seek to support these families. |

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 81,150

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Maintaining high quality teaching | Many different evidence sources recog- nise quality first teaching as the most ef- fective way to improve progress and at- tainment. Including the EEF publication 'Using your Pupil Premium Effectively' | 1 |
| | https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/guidance-for-teachers/using- pupil-premium | |

| Improving literacy in all subject areas in line with recommendations in the EEF Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools guidance. We will fund professional development focussed particularly on developing teachers understanding of disciplinary literacy, vocabulary & reading. Year three focus on DS and SEND teaching and learning strategies | Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more com- plex concepts in each subject: <u>Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools</u> Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy skills are heavily linked with attainment in maths and English: <u>word-gap.pdf (oup.com.cn)</u> | 1, 3 |
|---|---|------|
| Deliberate practice weekly CPD | "Ensuring that [] every teacher is supported to keep improving, is the key ingredient of a successful school and should rightly be the top priority for Pupil Premium spending." EEF, 2019 Coaching is highly effective because it combines, in one intervention, the method of improvement- deliberate prac- tice with the necessary inducements to improve autonomy and committing to change in front of others, Rebecca Allen and Sam Sims, The Teaching Gap. John Hattie (2017) released his updated list of 250+ factors that influence student achievement. It included deliberate prac- tice as a factor with a high effect size. | 1 |

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £40,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Adopting a targeted reading intervention for disadvantaged pupils who need additional help to comprehend | Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan: | 3 |

| texts and address vocabulary gaps. (Reading Fluency Project for disadvantaged students and Accelerated reader | Reading comprehension strategies <u>Toolkit Strand Education Endowment</u> <u>Foundation EEF</u> | |
|---|---|-------|
| for all students in Years 7&8) | | |
| Whole school Canon reading years 7-10 | Reading capability is vital for young peo- ple to be able to access and engage with the curriculum by the end of primary | 3 |
| NGRT 2023-24 for year 7 - 9 | school and even more so at secondary school. Steve Higgins, Professor of Edu- cation at Durham University. The EEF states that reading strategies, such as ac- tivating prior knowledge, prediction and questioning can improve students' com- prehension. Research by the EEF states that reading comprehension strategies can have 6+ months of impact. | |
| | Reading comprehension strategies Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF | |
| Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme for KS3-5 to provide a blend of mentoring (Coach- bright) and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: <u>One to one tuition EEF (educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk)</u> And in small groups: <u>Small group tuition Toolkit Strand </u> Education Endowment Foundation EEF | 1&3 |
| 2022-23 In house targeted tuition with English, Maths from catch up funding | | |
| DS homework club for targeted students | EEF evidence indicates that, on average, pupils make two additional months' progress per year from extended school time and in particular through the targeted use of before and after school programmes. | 1 & 3 |
| | Research from the EEF states that disadvantaged pupils may however be less likely to have access to the space, technology and conditions required for effective learning at home, so it may be better to consider homework clubs which take place in school. Evidence based | |

| research has found that homework has a positive impact on average (+ 5 months), particularly with pupils in secondary schools. <u>Extending school time EEF</u> (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £40,000

| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Provide additional mental health support as necessary through our PSA & SEMH learning mentor (School counsellor and access to <i>Kooth</i>) Provide training for the SEMH learning mentor, using a DFE recommended provider. Provide CBT training for our PSA so she can further support families and train staff. Continue to deliver mental health support through PSHE lessons and interventions such as 'My Big Life' | EEF's report on adolescent mental health found good evidence that interventions support young people's social and emotional skills and can reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression: Adolescent mental health: A systematic review on the effectiveness of school- based interventions Early Intervention Foundation (eif.org.uk) There is evidence to suggest that CBT can have a high impact on risk behav- iours and behavioural difficulties: Cognitive Behavioural Therapy - Youth Endowment Fund | 5 |
| ABSA | 2022 guidance from the DfE states that 'As poor attendance is habitual; preven- tion and early intervention is crucial. The key to this is regular data analysis to both identify and provide immediate additional support to pupils or pupil cohorts that need it, and to look at historic and emerg- ing patterns across the school and de- velop strategies to address themSchools should then devise spe- cific strategies to address areas of poor attendance identified through data. | 2 |

| | https://assets.publishing.ser- vice.gov.uk/government/uploads/sys- tem/uploads/attachment | |
|--|---|-----|
| Embedding principles of good practice set out in DfE's <u>Improving School</u> <u>Attendance</u> advice. Attendance/support officers will be utilized to improve attendance. HOHs will continue to monitor the 'Big 5' and attendance is one strand of this. | The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels. | 2 |
| Pre school breakfast free for DS 2023-24 | | |
| Contingency fund for acute issues | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified. The use of this fund will be reviewed, and informed by evidence-based research, throughout the academic year. | all |

Total budgeted cost: £161,150

Part B: Review of Outcomes in the Previous Academic Year Pupil Premium Strategy Outcomes

Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

We have analysed the performance of our school's disadvantaged pupils during the previous academic year, drawing on national assessment data and our own internal summative and formative assessments.

The data demonstrated that attainment for DS students continues as a trend to improve. In 2019, the gap was -0.94, 2020 -0.93, 2021 -0.75, 2022 -0.63 and 2023 -0.44 against national P8 of -0.55.

To help us gauge the performance of our disadvantaged pupils we compared their results to those for disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils at national and local level (though these comparisons are to be considered with caution given ongoing pandemic impacts) and to results achieved by our non-disadvantaged pupils.

The data demonstrates that there is still in school variance between DS and non-DS.

We have also drawn on school data and observations to assess wider issues impacting disadvantaged pupils' performance, including attendance, behaviour and wellbeing.

The data demonstrated that although above national there is still work to do to reduce the in college gap between DS and non DS.

Based on all the information above, the performance of our disadvantaged pupils met expectations, and we are at present on course to achieve the outcomes we set out to achieve by 2024/25, as stated in the Intended Outcomes section above.

Our evaluation of the approaches delivered last academic year indicates that attendance is still a challenge although higher than national norms at 2022-23 86.01%

We have reviewed our strategy plan and made changes to how we intend to use some of our budget this academic year. The Further Information section below provides more details about our planning, implementation, and evaluation processes.

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

| Intended Outcome | Success criteria | 21/ 22 | 22/ 23 | 23/ 24 |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| KPIs to be undistinguishable between disadvantaged and non- disadvantaged students. These include disadvantaged students to make progress in line with, or better than other students nationally and to achieve national benchmarks for attainment 8 for disadvantaged students. | Disadvantaged student's progress and attainment 8 scores will improve and be in line or better than national and with non- disadvantaged peers. Ensure the % of disadvantaged students achieving a 5+ in English and Maths is in line with, or above national average. | | | |
| Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium reading ages improve rapidly. | DS students' reading ages improve in line with their chronological ages. | | | |
| Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium who are on track to achieve 6 grade 4 or above including English and Maths apply for appropriate Post 16 courses. | Careers advisor will meet all disadvantaged students as a priority. NEET risk disadvantaged students in year 11 are identified in September and potential NEET risks in Year 10 | | | |
| No DS student is NEET | identified prior to Year 11. Disadvantaged students at risk of becoming NEET will receive high level of transition support and guidance and will be discussed and | | | |
| Increased attendance rates and punctuality for Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium. | action plan produced at SLT level. Attendance will be better than national. The gap between DS and non-DS will decrease rapidly. | | | |

| support from home. | | pupils of attend Increase commu | eligible for Pupil Premium to student progress evenings. sed and timelier unication regarding academic nent and behaviour. | | |
|---|--|--|--|---------|--|
| An increased number of Pupils eligible for Pupil Premium holding student leadership roles. No child eligible for the Pupil Premium is held back from participating in enrichment opportunities because of their background. | | studen roles is year gr Disadv attenda activitie group o | rcentage of disadvantaged ts holding student leadership at least proportionate to the roup cohort. antaged students are ance on trips, visits and es is proportionate to their ye cohort. | r ar | |
| Fewer hours of lessons are lost through parking of DS students. Fewer days are lost through suspensions of DS students. | | receive Reduct susper studen | | | |
| | | higher. DS stur line or nationa | dent attendance will be 90% dents' attendance remains in better than the local and al benchmarks. ent absence for disadvantag ts will reduce. | | |

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

| Programme | Provider |
|--------------------|---------------|
| NGRT | GL Assessment |
| Accelerated reader | Renaissance |

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

| Measure | Details |
|--|--|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year? | We have four pupils eligible for SCP. Pastoral support for students when parents on active duty and tutor mentoring. |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | Small group of students with average attendance of 98% |

Further information (optional)

We use school funds in addition to our pupil premium funding allocation to resource the above strategies.